

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

GRADE 12

ENGLISH FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE P3

NOVEMBER 2011

MEMORANDUM

MARKS: 100

TIME: 21/2 hours

This memorandum consists of 11 pages.

INSTRUCTIONS AND INFORMATION

This memorandum must be used together with the attached English FAL assessment rubrics for SECTIONS A, B and C.

SECTION A: ESSAY

QUESTION 1

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to write on ONE topic only.
- The ideas listed below the topics are only some ways in which the topics could be interpreted. Full credit must be given for the candidate's own interpretation.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidate and give credit for relevant ideas.
- Use the 50-mark assessment rubric to mark the essays. The texts produced by candidates must be assessed according to the following criteria, as set out in the assessment rubric:
 - Content and planning (32 marks)
 - Language, style and editing (12 marks)
 - Structure (6 marks)

NOTE: No additional penalties may be imposed as the rubric itself imposes penalties.

1.1 It was a dream come true!

- Narrative/Descriptive/Reflective essay
- The following must be considered:
 - If narrative, a story with a strong story line must be evident in which a series of events leads to a dream coming true. There must be a logical sequence of tense.
 - If descriptive, there must be a vivid description of an experience/ incident.
 - If reflective, there must be a personal account of thought processes and feelings/emotions surrounding the dream coming true.

[50]

1.2 'It felt good to be back home again.'

NOTE: The words given in the topic MUST be included somewhere in the essay.

- Descriptive/Narrative/Reflective essay
- The following must be considered:
 - If descriptive, there must be a vivid description of an experience/ incident which leads to the good feeling of being home again.
 - If narrative, a story with a strong story line must be evident in which a series of events takes place. There must be a logical sequence of tense.
 - o If reflective, there must be a personal account of thought processes and feelings/emotions surrounding an experience/incident which led to the good feeling.

[50]

- 1.3 Technology has changed the lives of teenagers. Do you agree?
 - Argumentative essay
 - The following must be considered:
 - The essay must offer one distinct opinion; therefore the essay must either be FOR OR AGAINST the topic given.
 - Candidates may argue technology has affected teenagers positively or negatively or both. The idea of change should be evident.
 - There should be clear defence/motivation/argument of the position taken.

[50]

- 1.4 Do subjects offered at school prepare you for life? Discuss your views.
 - Discursive essay
 - The essay must offer a balanced view of both sides of the argument.
 - Opposing views must be presented impartially.

[50]

- 1.5 As I looked at that photograph ...
 - Narrative/Reflective/Descriptive essay
 - The following must be considered:
 - o If **narrative**, a story with a **strong story line** must be evident in which a **series of events** leads to or results from memories evoked by the photograph. There must be a logical sequence of tense.
 - o If reflective, there must be a personal account of thought processes and feelings/emotions evoked by the photograph.
 - o If **descriptive**, there must be a **vivid description** of the circumstances surrounding the photograph.

[50]

1.6 The power of music

- Descriptive/Reflective/Narrative essay
- The following must be considered:
 - If descriptive, there must be a vivid description of the impact of music.
 - o If reflective, there must be a personal account of thought processes and feelings/emotions about the power of music.
 - If narrative, a story with a strong story line must be evident in which a series of events illustrates the topic. There must be a logical sequence of tense.

[50]

1.7 Interpretation of pictures

- Candidates may interpret the pictures in any way.
- The interpretation should be linked to the pictures.
- Candidates should give the essay a suitable title.
- Candidates may write in any appropriate tense.
- The following ideas may be explored in response to the pictures, among others:
- 1.7.1 o abstract interpretation: e.g. personal or spiritual scars/ wounds and the healing of those, society, crime, political issues, etc.
 - o a more literal approach might include: heart disease/broken relationships/emotional trauma, etc.
- 1.7.2 o social interpretations: family/parenthood/becoming parents at a young age, etc.
 - personal interpretation: friendship/joy/togetherness/health/ lifestyle, etc.
 - o a more literal interpretation might include: hairstyles/fashion/ Rastafarianism, etc.

[50]

TOTAL SECTION A: 50

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

QUESTION 2

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to answer ONE question.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas.
- Use the 30-mark assessment rubric to mark the responses in this section. The texts produced by candidates must be assessed according to the following criteria, as set out in the assessment rubric:
 - Content, planning and format (20 marks)
 - Language, style and editing (10 marks)

NOTE: No additional penalties may be imposed as the rubric itself imposes penalties.

2.1 **FORMAL LETTER**

- The letter should be addressed to the manager of ABC Store.
- The tone and register of the letter should be formal.
- The following aspects of format should be included:
 - o Address of sender
 - o Date
 - Address of recipient
 - Greeting/Salutation
 - o Subject line
 - Suitable ending
- The following information should be included in the letter, among others:
 - o The candidate's interest in the position
 - An indication of the candidate's language ability
 - A mention of people skills
 - Reference to previous work experience
 - Any other information which might influence the appointment.

[30]



2.2 **DIALOGUE**

NOTE:

- The correct dialogue format must be used:
 - The names of the characters on the left-hand side of the page
 - A colon after the name of the speaker
 - A new line to indicate each new speaker
 - Stage directions (tone of voice, actions, etc.) in brackets before the spoken words, if applicable.
- The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - The item being returned, as well as the reason for returning it must be clear.
 - The disagreement/conflict should be evident.
 - A positive OR negative solution must be evident.

[30]

2.3 **SPEECH**

- The speech must be in paragraph form.
- The tone of the speech should be formal.
- The language and register should be appropriate to the audience (the learners and staff of the school).
- The audience should be addressed appropriately (e.g. The Principal, teachers and learners ...).
- Consider the following:
 - The content of the speech should be relevant to the occasion (should be about saying goodbye).
 - Accept different approaches to the presentation of the speech.
 - A logical and appropriate closure to the speech should be evident.

[30]

2.4 MAGAZINE ARTICLE

- The heading must be interesting.
- The style should be personal, speaking directly to the reader. The style can be descriptive.
- The article should stimulate interest.
- Paragraphs should not be too long, encouraging easy reading.
- Consider the following:
 - The content of the article should be relevant and appealing to school learners.
 - Sporting highlights should be clear.

[30]

TOTAL SECTION B: 30

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT – TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

QUESTION 3

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to answer ONE question.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas.
- Use the 20-mark assessment rubric to mark the responses in this section. The texts produced by candidates must be assessed according to the following criteria, as set out in the assessment rubric:
 - Content, planning and format (13 marks)
 - Language, style and editing (7 marks)

NOTE: No additional penalties must be imposed as the rubric itself imposes penalties.

3.1 **ADVERTISEMENT**

- The advertisement should persuade people to buy the product. (Persuasive language and catch phrases should be used.)
- The following should be included, among others:
 - Description of the product
 - o Brand name
 - Slogan
 - Where to find the product/How to obtain it
 - o Price

NOTE: Do NOT award marks for illustrations.

[20]

3.2 **POSTCARD**

- The language (including the salutation and ending/conclusion) can be informal and colloquial, but should not include slang expressions.
- Complete sentences are not required.
- The content must be brief but informative.

NOTE: Do NOT award marks for illustrations.

[20]

3.3 **INSTRUCTIONS**

- The contents of the instructions should relate to the managing of the cleaning of the classroom OR only the cleaning.
- Instructions should be given in a logical sequence.
- The instructions may be in point or paragraph form.
- Numbers or bullets may be used to indicate each new instruction.
 Candidates may also choose to write each instruction on a new line or leave lines between instructions.
- The language should be clear and instructive.
- Complete sentences are not necessary.

[20]

TOTAL SECTION C: 20 GRAND TOTAL: 100

SECTION A: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY - FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (50)

	Code 7: Code 6: Code 5: Code 4: Code 3: Code 2: Code 1:							
	Outstanding 80–100%	Meritorious 70–79%	Substantial 60–69%	Adequate 50–59%	Moderate 40–49%	Elementary 30–39%	Not achieved 0–29%	
	26–32 -Content shows impressive insight	22½-25½ -Content shows thorough	19½-22 -Content shows a sound interpretation	16–19 -Content an adequate	13–15½ -Content ordinary. Gaps in coherence.	10- 12 2½	0–9½ -Content irrelevant. No coherence.	
CONTENT & PLANNING (32 MARKS)	into topicIdeas thought- provoking, mature. -Planning &/or	interpretation of topicIdeas imaginative, interesting Planning &/or	of the topicIdeas interesting, convincing Planning &/or	interpretation of topicIdeas ordinary, lacking depth Planning &/or	-Ideas mostly relevant. Repetitive. - Planning &/or drafting has	-Content not always clear, lacks coherence. -Few ideas, often	-Ideas repetitiveNon-existent planning/drafting. Poorly presented	
	drafting has produced a virtually flawless, presentable essay.	drafting has produced a well-crafted & presentable essay.	drafting has produced a presentable & very good essay.	drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented essay.	produced a moderately presentable & coherent essay.	repetitiveInadequate evidence of planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.	essay.	
	<u>10–12</u>	81/2-91/2	<u>7½-8</u>	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5-5½</u>	4-41/2	<u>0–3½</u>	
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (12 MARKS)	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation effectively used. Uses figurative languageChoice of words highly appropriateStyle, tone, register highly suited to topicVirtually error-free following proof-reading & editing.	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation correct; able to include figurative language correctlyChoice of words varied & correctly usedStyle, tone, register appropriately suited to topicLargely error-free following proof-	-Critical awareness of language evidentLanguage & punctuation mostly correctChoice of words suited to textStyle, tone, register suited to topic in most of the essayBy and large errorfree following proofreading, editing.	-Some awareness of impact of languageLanguage simplistic, punctuation adequateChoice of words adequateStyle, tone, register generally consistent with topic requirementsStill contains errors following proofreading, editing.	-Limited critical language awarenessLanguage ordinary & punctuation often inaccurately usedChoice of words basicStyle, tone register lacking in coherenceContains several errors following proofreading, editing.	-Language & punctuation flawedChoice of words limitedStyle, tone, register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editing.	-Language & punctuation seriously flawedChoice of words inappropriateStyle, tone, register flawed in all aspectsError-ridden & confused following proofreading, editing.	
	<u>5–6</u>	reading, editing.	<u>4</u>	3-3½	<u>2½</u>	2	0-1½	
STRUCTURE	-Coherent development of topic.	-Logical development of details. Coherent.	-Several relevant details developed.	-Some points, necessary details	-Some necessary points evident.	-Sometimes off topic. General line of	-Off topic.	
	Vivid detail.	-Sentences,	-Sentences,	developed.	-Sentences,	thought difficult to	paragraphs muddled,	
(6 MARKS)	-Sentences, paragraphs coherently constructed. -Length in accordance with	paragraphs logical, varied. -Length correct.	paragraphs well constructedLength correct.	-Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes senseLength almost	paragraphs faulty but ideas can be understoodLength – too long/short.	followSentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary levelLength – too	inconsistent. Length – far too long/short.	
	requirements of topic.			correct.		long/short.	aner 3/2 — January 2000]	

[From: Examination Guidelines: Languages Paper 3/2 – January 2009]

NSC – Memorandum

SECTION B: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS – FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (30)							
	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100%	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79%	Code 5: Substantial 60–69%	Code 4: Adequate 50–59%	Code 3: Moderate 40–49%	Code 2: Elementary 30–39%	Code 1: Not achieved 0-9%
	<u>16–20</u>	<u>14–15½</u>	<u>12–13½</u>	<u>10–11½</u>	8-91/2	6-71/2	0-51/2
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (20 MARKS)	-Specialised knowledge of requirements of the textDisciplined writing – maintains thorough focus, no digressionsText fully coherent in content & ideas & all detail support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a virtually flawlessly presentable textHas applied all the necessary rules of format/outstanding.	-Good knowledge of requirements of the textDisciplined writing – learner maintains focus, hardly any digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas, with all details supporting the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a well crafted, presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format/meritorious.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of the text. -Writing – learner maintains focus, with minor digressions. -Text is coherent in content & ideas, and details support the topic. -Evidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a presentable & good text. -Has applied most of the necessary rules of format/substantial.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner digresses from topic but does not impede overall meaningText adequately coherent in content & ideas & some details support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of the requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning is vague in placesText moderately coherent in content & ideas and has basic details which support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable & coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning is obscure in placesText not always coherent in content & ideas, and has few details which support the topicInadequate planning &/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied the necessary rules of format.	-No knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner digresses, meaning is obscure in placesText not coherent in content & ideas, too few details to support topicPlanning/drafting non-existent. Poorly presented textHas not applied the necessary rules of format.
	<u>8–10</u>	<u>7–7½</u>	<u>6–6½</u>	<u>5–5½</u>	4-41/2	<u>3–3½</u>	<u>0–2½</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (10 MARKS)	-Text is grammatically accurate & well constructedVocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone, register very appropriateText virtually error-free following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is well constructed & accurateVocabulary is mostly appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone and register mostly appropriateText largely errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is well constructed & easy to readVocabulary is appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone, register generally appropriateText mostly errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary is adequate for the purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone, register adequately appropriateText still contains a few errors following proofreading, editingLength almost correct.	-Text is basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary is limited & not very suitable for the purpose, audience & contextLapses in styleText contains several errors following proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed & difficult to followVocabulary requires remediation & not suitable for purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone & register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and muddledVocabulary requires serious remediation & not suitable for purposeStyle, tone & register do not correspond with topicText error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.

[From: Examination Guidelines: Languages Paper 3/2 – January 2009]

SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL TEXTS FIRST

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100%	Code 6: Meritorious 70– 9%	Code 5: Substantial 60–69%	Code 4: Adequate 50–59%	Code 3: Moderate 40–49%	Code 2: Elementary 30–39%	Code 1: Not achieved 0-29%
	<u>10½–13</u>	<u>9½–10</u>	<u>8–9</u>	6½-7½	<u>5½-6</u>	<u>4–5</u>	0-31/2
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (13 MARKS)	-Specialised knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing – learner maintains thorough focus, no digressionsText fully coherent in content & ideas, and all details support topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a virtually flawless, presentable textHas applied all the necessary rules of format.	-Good knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing – learner maintains focus, hardly any digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas with all details supporting the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a well crafted & presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner maintains focus, with minor digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas, and details support topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a presentable and good textHas applied most of the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaningText adequately coherent in content & ideas and some details support topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of the requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning vague in placesText moderately coherent in content & ideas and has basic details which support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting that has produced a moderately presentable & coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of the format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not always coherent in content & ideas, and has few details which support topicPlanning/drafting inadequate. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied the necessary rules of format.	-No knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner digresses, meaning is obscure in placesText not coherent in content & ideas and too few details to support the topicPlanning and drafting non-existent. Poorly presented textHas not applied the necessary rules of format.
	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5–5½</u>	<u>4½</u>	<u>3½-4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2½</u>	<u>0–2</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (7 MARKS)	-Text is grammatically accurate and well constructedVocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register very appropriateText virtually errorfree following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Text is well constructed and accurateVocabulary is mostly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register mostly appropriateText largely errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is well constructed and easy to readVocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register generally appropriateText mostly errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary is adequate for purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone and register adequately appropriateText still contains few errors following proofreading, editingLength almost correct.	-Text is basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary is limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerText contains several errors following proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and muddledVocabulary requires serious remediation & not suitable for purposeStyle, tone & register do not correspond with topicText error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.

[From: Examination Guidelines: Languages Paper 3/2 – January 2009]