

education

Department:
Education
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

GRADE 12

ENGLISH FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE P3

NOVEMBER 2009

MEMORANDUM

MARKS: 100

TIME: 21/2 hours

This memorandum consists of 10 pages.

INSTRUCTIONS AND INFORMATION

This memorandum must be used together with the attached English FAL assessment rubrics for SECTIONS A, B and C.

NOTE: All pieces of writing should be read at least TWICE during assessment, once for content and once for language and structure.

SECTION A: ESSAY

QUESTION 1

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to write on ONE topic only.
- The ideas listed below the topics are only some ways in which the topics could be interpreted. Full credit must be given for the candidate's own interpretation.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidate and give credit for relevant ideas, even if they are not listed below the topics.
- Use the 50-mark assessment rubric to mark the essays. The texts produced by candidates must be assessed according to the following criteria:
 - o Content and planning (32 marks)
 - Language, style and editing (12 marks)
 - Structure (6 marks)

NOTE: No additional penalties may be imposed as the rubric itself imposes penalties.

- 1.1 This has indeed been a wonderful year.
 - Narrative/Descriptive/Reflective essay.
 - The time frame of the essay should be limited to this year.
 - The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - o Some experiences/memorable events/occasions.
 - Lessons the candidate has learnt this year.
 - The people who have had an impact on the candidate's life this year.

[50]

1.2 South Africa has hosted important international sporting events in 2009, such as cricket, soccer and rugby. But has the ordinary South African benefited from these events?

Discuss your views.

- Argumentative/Discursive/Expository essay.
- The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - Job creation.
 - o Development of infrastructure (stadiums, roads, airports, etc.).
 - o Economic benefits.
 - o Building a unified South Africa.

[50]

- 1.3 I have learnt that failure can lead to success.
 - Reflective/Narrative essay.
 - The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - The event/incident that resulted in failure.
 - How the candidate dealt with the failure.
 - What eventually led to success.

[50]

- 1.4 The importance of saving our environment.
 - Expository/Discursive essay.
 - The following ideas may be explored, **among others**:
 - o The damage caused to the planet and certain species.
 - o Our dependence on the environment.
 - Ways to save the environment.

[50]

- 1.5 My favourite type of music, and why I like it.
 - Descriptive/Expository/Reflective essay.
 - The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - What type of music the candidate enjoys most.
 - Reasons why the candidate enjoys this type of music.
 - Favourite artists who perform this type of music.

[50]

[50]

- 1.6 A terrifying experience.
 - Narrative/Descriptive/Reflective essay.
 - The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - When and where the experience occurred.
 - What actually happened.
 - The impact this experience has had on the candidate's life.

1.7 Interpretation of pictures.

- Candidates may interpret the pictures in any way.
- The interpretation should be linked to the pictures.
- Candidates should give the essay a suitable title.
- Candidates may write in any appropriate tense.
- The following ideas may be explored in response to the pictures, among others:
 - 1.7.1 Early childhood memories/My father/uncle/grandfather
 I thanked him for .../Family relationships/Things I have learnt from my father/uncle/grandfather.
 - 1.7.2 Love and relationships/The meaning of marriage/ Girls and diamonds/A beautiful wedding/Love and marriage/The cost of weddings today/Commitment.
 - 1.7.3 The importance of education/Writing examinations/This is my story .../My favourite author/poet/book/If I could write a story.

[50]

TOTAL SECTION A: 50

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

QUESTION 2

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to answer ONE question.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas even if they are not listed below the topics.
- Use the 30-mark assessment rubric to mark the responses in this section. The texts produced by candidates must be assessed according to the following criteria:
 - Content, planning and format (20 marks)
 - Language, style and editing (10 marks)

NOTE: No additional penalties may be imposed as the rubric itself imposes penalties.

2.1 REVIEW

- The response may be a formal review or in the form of a speech.
- The review must be in paragraph form.
- The tone and register of the review should be appropriate to the content and the target audience (learners).
- The following details should be included, among others:
 - Film the title of the film; the main actors; the director and/or producer; the plot; setting; characterisation; your evaluation of the film.
 - Book the plot; setting; characterisation; your evaluation of the book.

[30]

2.2 DIALOGUE

The following ideas may be explored, **among others**:

- The candidate's interest, aptitudes, likes, favourite subjects at school.
- The subjects the candidate is studying at school.
- The courses the candidate is considering, admission requirements, where the candidate will have to study, duration of study, accommodation options, costs, etc.
- Whether the candidate will be able to study further or not.

NOTE: The dialogue format must be used.

[30]

2.3 FORMAL LETTER

- The letter should be addressed to a store manager.
- The tone and register of the letter should be formal.
- The following aspects of format should be included:
 - o Address of sender.
 - o Date.
 - Address of recipient.
 - Greeting/Salutation.
 - o Subject line.
 - Suitable ending.
- The following information should be included in the letter, **among others**:
 - o Date of repair and make and/or model of item.
 - o Details of problems encountered with the item after repairs.
 - How the candidate would like the matter to be resolved.

[30]

2.4 SPEECH

- The speech must be in paragraph form.
- The tone of the speech should be formal.
- The language and register should be appropriate to the audience (the learners of the school).
- The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - Details of some of the accidents that have occurred.
 - Factors that have contributed to these accidents.
 - What learners need to do in order to prevent future accidents.

[30]

TOTAL SECTION B: 30

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT – TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENCE/INFORMATIONAL

Instructions to Markers:

QUESTION 3

- Candidates are required to answer ONE question.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas even if they are not listed below the topics.
- Use the 20-mark assessment rubric to mark the responses in this section. The texts produced by candidates must be assessed according to the following criteria:
 - o Content, planning and format (13 marks)
 - Language, style and editing (7 marks)

NOTE: No additional penalties must be imposed as the rubric itself imposes penalties.

NSC - Memorandum

3.1 POSTER

- The poster should encourage learners to donate items of uniform.
- The following should be included:
 - o Heading/Slogan.
 - Reasons for the project.
 - o Items required (e.g. shirts, dresses, jerseys, shoes, belts, etc.)
 - When and where the items may be dropped off.
 - o Contact person.

NOTE: No marks are awarded for illustrations.

[20]

3.2 LIST

- The list must be in point form and complete sentences must be used.
- Numbers or bullets may be used to indicate each new point. Candidates may also choose to write each point on a new line or leave lines between points.
- The following ideas may be explored, among others:
 - o Drawing up a guest list, if any.
 - o Deciding on a suitable date, time and venue for the function.
 - o Making arrangements for the event or any other relevant arrangements.

[20]

3.3 ADVERTISEMENT

- The advertisement should persuade teenagers to buy the product.
- The language, tone and register should be appropriate to the target group.
- The following should be included, among others:
 - Brand name.
 - o Slogan.
 - Details of the product.

NOTE: No marks are awarded for illustrations.

[20]

TOTAL SECTION C: 20

GRAND TOTAL: 100

NSC Memorandum

				DDITIONAL LAN	\ ,		
	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding	Meritorious	Substantial	Adequate	Moderate	Elementary	Not achieved
	80 – 100%	70 – 79%	60 – 69%	50 – 59%	40 – 49%	30 – 39%	0 – 29%
	<u> 26 – 32</u>	$22\frac{1}{2} - 25\frac{1}{2}$	<u> 19½ – 22</u>	<u> 16 – 19</u>	<u>13 – 15½</u>	<u>10 – 12½</u>	$0 - 9\frac{1}{2}$
CONTENT & PLANNING (32 MARKS)	-Content shows impressive insight into topicIdeas thought-provoking, maturePlanning &/or drafting has produced a virtually flawless, presentable essay.	-Content shows thorough interpretation of topicIdeas imaginative, interesting Planning &/or drafting has produced a well-crafted & presentable essay.	-Content shows a sound interpretation of the topicIdeas interesting, convincing Planning &/or drafting has produced a presentable & very good essay.	-Content an adequate interpretation of topicIdeas ordinary, lacking depth Planning &/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented essay.	-Content ordinary. Gaps in coherenceIdeas mostly relevant. Repetitive Planning &/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable & coherent essay.	-Content not always clear, lacks coherenceFew ideas, often repetitiveInadequate evidence of planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.	-Content irrelevant. No coherenceIdeas repetitiveNon-existent planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.
	<u>10 – 12</u>	$8\frac{1}{2} - 9\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{71/_2}{8}$	<u>6 – 7</u>	$5-5\frac{1}{2}$	$4 - 4\frac{1}{2}$	$0 - 3\frac{1}{2}$
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (12 MARKS)	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation effectively used. Uses figurative languageChoice of words highly appropriateStyle, tone, register highly suited to topicVirtually error-free following proof-reading & editing.	-Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation correct; able to include figurative language correctlyChoice of words varied & correctly usedStyle, tone, register appropriately suited to topicLargely error-free following proof-reading, editing.	-Critical awareness of language evidentLanguage & punctuation mostly correctChoice of words suited to textStyle, tone, register suited to topic in most of the essayBy and large errorfree following proofreading, editing.	-Some awareness of impact of languageLanguage simplistic, punctuation adequateChoice of words adequateStyle, tone, register generally consistent with topic requirementsStill contains errors following proof-reading, editing.	-Limited critical language awarenessLanguage ordinary & punctuation often inaccurately usedChoice of words basicStyle, tone register lacking in coherenceContains several errors following proofreading, editing.	-Language & punctuation flawedChoice of words limitedStyle, tone, register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editing.	-Language & punctuation seriously flawedChoice of words inappropriateStyle, tone, register flawed in all aspectsError-ridden & confused following proofreading, editing.
	<u>5 – 6</u>	<u>4½</u>	<u>4</u>	$3-3\frac{1}{2}$	<u>2½</u>	<u>2</u>	$0 - 1\frac{1}{2}$
STRUCTURE (6 MARKS)	-Coherent development of topic. Vivid detailSentences, paragraphs coherently constructedLength in accordance with	-Logical development of details. Coherent. -Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied. -Length correct.	-Several relevant details developed. -Sentences, paragraphs well constructed. -Length correct.	-Some points, necessary details developed. -Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes sense. -Length almost	-Some necessary points evidentSentences, paragraphs faulty but ideas can be understoodLength - too long/short.	-Sometimes off topic. General line of thought difficult to followSentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary levelLength - too	-Off topic. -Sentences, paragraphs muddled, inconsistent. Length - far too long/short.

FROM: Examination Guidelines: Languages Paper 3/2 – January 2009

SECTION B: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS – FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (30)

	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding 80 – 100%	Meritorious 70 – 79%	Substantial 60 – 69%	Adequate 50 – 59%	Moderate 40 – 49%	Elementary 30 – 39%	Not achieved 0 - 29%
	16 – 20	14 – 15½	12 – 13½	10 – 11½	$8 - 9\frac{1}{2}$	$6-7\frac{1}{2}$	0-29% 0-51/2
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (20 MARKS)	-Specialized knowledge of requirements of the textDisciplined writing – maintains thorough focus, no digressionsText fully coherent in content & ideas & all detail support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a virtually flawlessly presentable textHas applied all the necessary rules of format/outstanding.	-Good knowledge of requirements of the textDisciplined writing — learner maintains focus, hardly any digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas, with all details supporting the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a well crafted, presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format/meritorious.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner maintains focus, with minor digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas, and details support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a presentable & good textHas applied most of the necessary rules of format/substantial.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner digresses from topic but does not impede overall meaningText adequately coherent in content & ideas & some details support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of the requirements of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning is vague in placesText moderately coherent in content & ideas and has basic details which support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable & coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning is obscure in placesText not always coherent in content & ideas, and has few details which support the topicInadequate planning &/or drafting. Text not well presented, -Has vaguely applied the necessary rules of format.	-No knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner digresses, meaning is obscure in placesText not coherent in content & ideas, too few details to support topicPlanning/ drafting non- existent. Poorly presented textHas not applied the necessary rules of format.
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (10 MARKS)	8 – 10 -Text is grammatically accurate & well constructedVocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone, register very appropriateText virtually errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	7 - 7½ -Text is well constructed & accurateVocabulary is mostly appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone and register mostly appropriate -Text largely errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	6 - 6½ -Text is well constructed & easy to readVocabulary is appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone, register generally appropriateText mostly error-free following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary is adequate for the purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone, register adequately appropriateText still contains a few errors following proofreading, editingLength almost correct.	4 - 4½ -Text is basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary is limited & not very suitable for the purpose, audience & contextLapses in style, -Text contains several errors following proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	3 - 3½ -Text is poorly constructed & difficult to followVocabulary requires remediation & not suitable for purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone & register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	O - 2½ -Text is poorly constructed and muddledVocabulary requires serious remediation & not suitable for purposeStyle, tone & register do not correspond with topic -Text error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.

FROM: Examination Guidelines: Languages Paper 3/2 – January 2009

SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENCE/INFORMATIONAL TEXTS FIRST ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (20)

<i>F</i>	Opela 7		0.4.5	01 - 4	01 - 0	01 - 0	0 - 1 - 4
	Code 7:	Code 6:	Code 5:	Code 4:	Code 3:	Code 2:	Code 1:
	Outstanding	Meritorious	Substantial	Adequate	Moderate	Elementary	Not achieved
	80 – 100%	70 – 79%	60 – 69%	50 – 59%	40 – 49%	30 – 39%	0 – 29%
CONTENT,	-Specialized knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing – learner maintains thorough focus, no digressions.	9½ – 10 -Good knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing – learner maintains focus, hardly any digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas with	-Fair knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner maintains focus, with minor digressionsText is coherent in content & ideas, and	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaningText adequately	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning vague in places.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of the text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning	-No knowledge of requirements of the textWriting – learner digresses, meaning is obscure in placesText not coherent in content & ideas and
PLANNING & FORMAT (13 MARKS)	-Text fully coherent in content & ideas, and all details support topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a virtually flawless, presentable textHas applied all the necessary rules of format.	all details supporting the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a well crafted & presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format.	details support topic -Evidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a presentable and good textHas applied most of the necessary rules of format.	coherent in content & ideas and some details support topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of the requirements of format.	-Text moderately coherent in content & ideas and has basic details which support the topicEvidence of planning &/or drafting that has produced a moderately presentable & coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of the format – some critical oversights.	obscure in placesText not always coherent in content & ideas, and has few details which support topicPlanning/drafting inadequate. Text not well presented, -Has vaguely applied the necessary rules of format.	too few details to support the topicPlanning and drafting non-existent. Poorly presented textHas not applied the necessary rules of format.
	<u>6 – 7</u>	<u>5 - 5½</u>	41/2	$3\frac{1}{2} - 4$	<u>3</u>	<u>2½</u>	<u>0- 2</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (7 MARKS)	-Text is grammatically accurate and well constructedVocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register very appropriateText virtually errorfree following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Text is well constructed and accurateVocabulary is mostly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register mostly appropriateText largely errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is well constructed and easy to readVocabulary is very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register generally appropriateText mostly errorfree following proofreading, editingLength correct.	-Text is adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary is adequate for purpose, audience & contextStyle, tone and register adequately appropriateText still contains few errors following proofreading, editingLength almost correct.	-Text is basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary is limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerText contains several errors following proof-reading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Text is poorly constructed and muddledVocabulary requires serious remediation & not suitable for purposeStyle, tone & register do not correspond with topic -Text error-ridden and confused following proof-reading, editingLength – far too long/short.

FROM: Examination Guidelines: Languages Paper 3/2 – January 2009